In US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIVISION, FOR THE STATE OF IL 201 S. VINE ST, URBANA, IL 61802

DATED MAY 13, 2011

case #___11-2111_

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR 2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873 http://www.justtalking3.info Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS GOVERNOR; P. QUINN

207 state house, Springfield IL 62706

ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100 W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274

IL Attorney general: LISA MADIGAN: 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706 CIRCUIT JUDGE, THOMAS DIFANIS; champaign county courthouse 101 E.

Main st. Urbana IL 61801

TITLED: judicial letter, Difanis

May 10, 2011 judge Difanis writes to me: establishing he knows that a motion for sanctions is on file/ THEREFORE he has received his subpoena, and filings. Notes that he is now a defendant in a federal trial case 11-2111. States that he is no longer in charge of his response to that trial, failing to accept his designation as a defendant directly: and reassigns his cause to Richard P. Klaus for "further proceedings". Cause allotted for hearing on June 2, 2011 at 3:30 pm in courtroom E.

But fails to identify which courthouse that would be <u>because unless this is</u> the district federal courthouse, along with a district federal judge to hear that case.

Judge Difanis has NO JURISDICTION OR RIGHT, to call me into a county state courtroom. That would be an illegal abuse of power. There no case in state court/ there is not cause for further proceedings in a state courtroom, because the case has ended: because judge difanis established his order, ending the case

853. From which his status as a defendant, in federal court: who refused to obey the constitutional mandate per each and every judge to obey the law, and do what the constitution grants and guarantees to each and every citizen including me. A judge who refused to honor his oath to protect, defend and obey the constitution of this state of ILLINOIS: and serve the people.

Either establish jurisdiction in writing before this federal court proceeding prior to may 27, 2011; Or be it known, that no jurisdiction exists, and I will NOT be appearing in that courtroom unless you do. In regard to that notice, you are to establish prior to the may 27 date: if in fact this refers to a hearing in federal court, with a federal judge in charge of case 11-2111. And if so, I shall appear, in federal court.

The state court is through, UNLESS you disavow your previous order of the court/ AND FULLY WITH ALL ASSOCIATED MEDIA ADVERTIZEMENT necessary to establish redress of grievances for this people of IL. Is established in full. PRIOR to any other form of hearing/ you shall establish: redress of grievances/ and then, I shall appear. Otherwise, you have no further say, nor does Richard P. Klaus, in your stead: apart from being a defendant, and thereby defending yourself. As to Richard P. Klaus: the question of collusion and conspiracy exist/ because as a judge he knows that without jurisdiction, there is no functioning or legal way that I can be called into a courtroom under his authority. As that would be clear corruption.

As to why you, judge difanis; chose to flagrantly and deliberately disobey the law. Why you discarded democracy and its guarantees to me, and the people of this state called IL. Abandoning all pretense, when you refused the opportunity for me to reply in 853, and thereby allowing the demand for constitutional compliance. The cause and consequence of why the law and guarantees of this state called IL: rights granted to me, should not be given. You knew, by the filings given: I intended that line of questioning. But, Because the class from the college of communication/ journalism was in attendance; a short clarification of what redress means was necessary. Under threat of contempt/ *your refusal to* allow literal due process was initiated and established

Answer the question, and underscore: exactly why you should not be punished for failing to uphold your sworn to the people of IL, OATH!

Because I came under the guaranteed rights assigned by the constitution of the state of ILLINOIS/ granting to me REDRESS OF

GRIEVANCES. Was refused, AS IS ESTABLISHED BY THE EVIDENCE: in the courtroom case called 10-MR-853.

Which was a literal demand for redress of grievances as guaranteed by the constitution of this state called IL. <u>Therefrom the hearing of 853: WAS ABOUT WHY, was I refused. IT'S THE LAW!</u>

EXPLAIN IN DETAIL, OR GRANT ME MY RIGHTS.

TO WHICH JUDGE DIFANIS, as well as the defendants through their lawyer replied, by trial composition and courtroom evidence: <u>tyranny rules</u> <u>here, NOT the law</u>/ go away. **Democracy, and its constitution, thrown aside!**

This federal court; IS EXPECTED, to reprimand these judges according to the law/ and establish jurisdiction over their own case.

In US DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIVISION, FOR THE STATE OF IL 201 S. VINE ST, URBANA, IL 61802

DATED MAY 13, 2011

case #__11-2111_

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR 2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873 http://www.justtalking3.info

Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS GOVERNOR; P. QUINN

207 state house, Springfield IL 62706

ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100 W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274

IL Attorney general: LISA MADIGAN: 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706

CIRCUIT JUDGE, THOMAS DIFANIS; champaign county courthouse 101 E. Main st. Urbana IL 61801

TITLED: judicial letter, Difanis proof of service

I, James F. Osterbur, do hereby declare that a true and correct copy of this filing titled: **judicial letter, Difanis** has been mailed on this date May 13.2011 by first class US mail with all postage prepaid.

To each and every defendant listed on the first page, with a copy mailed to the district court: at the addresses listed as per each one.

One additional copy is sent to judge Richard P. Klaus, addressed to the champaign county courthouse 101 E. Main. Urbana IL 61801